

REMARKS


This is in response to the Official Action of June 2, 2006. The points raised therein are addressed below in the order originally set forth.

Claims 23-32 stand rejected as indefinite under the second paragraph of 35 USC 112, it being noted that the broad recitations aryl and ester, combined with the more narrower recitations of phenyl and alkyl carboxylate, render the claims indefinite. To simplify the issues, the narrower terms "phenyl" and "alkyl carboxylate" have been cancelled in favor of the broader terms. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that this rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 23, 27, 28 and 30-32 stand rejected for obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-7 of US Patent No. 6,559,374. A terminal disclaimer over the cited patent is submitted concurrently herewith. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that this rejection should now be withdrawn.

It is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Kenneth D. Sibley
Registration No. 31,665

USPTO Customer No. 20792
Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.
P. O. Box 37428, Raleigh, NC 27627
Telephone: (919) 854-1400
Facsimile: (919) 854-1401